IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA CHARLOTTE DIVISION DOCKET NO. 3:08-CV-00476

NANCY H. SAWYER,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	ORDER
)	
COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL)	
SECURITY ADMINISTRATION,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
)	

THIS MATTER comes now before the Court upon Memorandum and Recommendation (M&R) of United States Magistrate Judge David S. Cayer, recommending that Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment (Doc. No. 8), filed March 25, 2009, be denied, and Defendant's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings (Doc. No. 10), filed May 26, 2009, be granted. The time for filing objections as provided in 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b) has expired, and no objections have been filed by either party.

The district court conducts a *de novo* review of those portions of a magistrate judge's M&R to which specific objections are filed. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). "[I]n the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a *de novo* review, but instead must only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation." <u>Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co.</u>, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005). Upon careful review of the record, "the court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(c).

After a careful review of the record, the Court finds that the magistrate judge's findings and

recommendations are neither clearly erroneous nor inconsistent with governing law. Thus, the Court hereby accepts the M&R of Magistrate Judge Cayer and adopts it as the final decision of this Court for all purposes relating to this case.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment (Doc. No. 8) be DENIED and Defendant's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings (Doc. No. 10) be GRANTED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed: August 12, 2009

Frank D. Whitney

United States District Judge